



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/515,101	02/29/2000	Jin-gyo Seo	1293.1093/MDS 1625	
21171 759	90 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			PATEL, GAUTAM	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON			2655	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/515,101	SEO, JIN-GYO				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gautam R. Patel	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) L they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	rion(s)·					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>25,28-30,43-47 and 56</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,5-8,10-24,26,27,31-34,38-42 and	<u>1 48-52</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants are presenting arguments which do not render the claims allowable after the prosecution on the merit is closed.

Continuation of 10. Other: As to the arguments regarding claim 12, The Applicants mentioned that "similar to claims 1 and 38, Ogasawara does not disclose the features of "sampling the counted results from the up/down counter and latching an average of a predetremined number of the sampled conted results." This is not found persuasive because Ogasawara very clearly discloses an up/down counter [unit 48 in fig. 8], which is exactly doing what is being claimed so far in claim 12. So arguments with respect to claims 1 and 38 are moot, but not with respect claim 12, which is clearly explained here and also was explained during interview. Also as it was explained before; the ORDER in which Ogasawara does sampling is different from what is being shown in the Applicants figures, however that order in which this is done has not been claimed. Also up/down counter is well known in the art as shown by the Applicants, see figure 1. Therefore, Ogasawara reads on the claim 12 in its present form. In retrospect it seems the Examiner should have explained his position better.

GAUTAM R. PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER